Qualitative approach to prediction of reaction barriers.

For stepwise reactions, which proceed via reaction intermediates, the reaction barriers could be estimated using relative stabilities of the RIs.

For concerted reaction (no RIs) ???? "No-mechanism" reactions (early 60th)

Many concerted reactions proceed via a cyclic transition state- pericyclic reactions

 S_{N2} rxn is concerted but not pericyclic

Diels-Alder rxn: concerted and pericyclic



Woodward and Hoffmann idea: pericyclic concerted reactions proceed readily if the symmetry of the occupied MO of reactants corresponds to the symmetry of the occupied MOs of products.

Simply: conservation of orbital symmetry in pericyclic concerted reactions.





Let us work with the ground electronic states (no excited states). So, we run reaction thermally (Δ), not photochemically (hv).



Ground state of the reactants correlates with the doubly-excited state of the product



Ground state (thermal) (π^2 + π^2) –addition is symmetry forbidden (proceeds with a high barrier)

Excited state (photochemical) (π^2 + π^2) –addition is symmetry allowed (proceeds with a lower barrier)

Stereochemistry of pericyclic reactions

Face-to-face approach, as in above example: suprafacial. All bonds being broken or formed are on the same side of the molecular surface

alternative approach (antarafacial). Bonds to be formed are on the opposite side of the molecular surface

Stereochemistry of cycloaddition







More detailed sterochemistry:

 $(\pi_s^2 + \pi_s^2) \longrightarrow (\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_s^2) \Delta$ - forbidden, hv-allowed

Change in sterochemistry for the reaction (from a to s) flips the selection rule:

$$(\pi_a^2 + \pi_s^2) \longrightarrow (\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_s^2) \Delta$$
- allowed, hv-forbidden

Antara- supra-facial sterochemistry is difficult to achieve due to steric effects- rare case in reality



For σ -orbitals: out-out and in-in lobes are supra, in-out lobes-antara



MO correlation diagram for 4n+2 cycloaddition (n-number of π electrons)



Still we can apply symmetry rules for this rxn. MOs better be calculated



MOs of reactants correlate with MOs of product- Δ -allowed, hv- forbidden

Selection rules for cycloaddition reactions

$P_{\pi e} + q_{\pi e}$	∆-allowed	hv-allowed
4n	P _{πe} (a) + q _{πe} (s) P _{πe} (s) + q _{πe} (a)	P _{πe} (s) + q _{πe} (s) P _{πe} (a) + q _{πe} (a)
4n+2	P _{πe} (s) + q _{πe} (s) P _{πe} (a) + q _{πe} (a)	Ρ _{πe} (a) + q _{πe} (s) Ρ _{πe} (s) + q _{πe} (a)

 $\pi^{8}(s) + \pi^{2}(s)$ cycloaddition- s,s thermally allowed



Fukui (shared Noble prize with Hoffmann in 1981) – FMO theory (frontier MO)

FMO for pericyclic reactions: HOMO and LUMO symmetries should be only considered

If HOMO-LUMO interaction leads to bonding interaction then reaction is allowed, antibonding interaction- forbidden

For example, for dimerization of ethylene:



 $\pi^{2}(s) + \pi^{2}(s)$

Antibonding forbidden



 $\pi^{2}(s) + \pi^{2}(a)$

bonding allowed



 $\pi^{2}(a) + \pi^{2}(s)$

bonding allowed