Creation Date: **Friday, February 25, 2022**

blue®

Is this a required course?

Is this a required course?

Yes (12) ████████████████████ 80.00%
No (3) ████ 20.00%
[Total (15)]

0   50%   100%

What percentage of classes did you attend in this course?

What percentage of classes did you attend in this course?

Less than 50% (0) 0.00%
50-74% (2) ███ 12.50%
75-89% (4) ██████ 25.00%
90-99% (4) ██████ 25.00%
100% (6) █████████ 37.50%
[Total (16)]

0   50%   100%

Stimulation and Challenge

Overall

CLASS AVG 3.97
INST-DEPT AVG 4.06
INST-COLL AVG 4.02
StFX 4.10

1. The level of intellectual challenge in this course is appropriate.

CLASS AVG 3.56
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 3.95
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.98
StFX 4.05

2. The instructor shows interest and enthusiasm in teaching this course.

CLASS AVG 4.50
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.31
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.28
StFX 4.39

3. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject area.

CLASS AVG 3.56
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 3.87
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.78
StFX 3.89

4. I was encouraged to apply my knowledge in different ways (i.e. critical thinking, problem solving, analysis, writing skills)

CLASS AVG 4.25
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.09
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.05
StFX 4.08

Stimulation and Challenge

Overall	Value
Mean	3.97
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.11

1. The level of intellectual challenge in this course is appropriate.

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (4)	25.00%
Neutral (2)	12.50%
Agree (7)	43.75%
Strongly Agree (3)	18.75%
[Total (16)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.56
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.09

2. The instructor shows interest and enthusiasm in teaching this course.

Strongly Disagree (1)	6.25%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (0)	0.00%
Agree (4)	25.00%
Strongly Agree (11)	68.75%
[Total (16)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.50
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	1.03

3. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject area.

Strongly Disagree (2)	12.50%
Disagree (1)	6.25%
Neutral (3)	18.75%
Agree (6)	37.50%
Strongly Agree (4)	25.00%
[Total (16)]	

| Statistics	Value
Mean	3.56
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.31

Stimulation and Challenge (continued)

4. I was encouraged to apply my knowledge in different ways (i.e. critical thinking, problem solving, analysis, writing skills)

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (2) — 12.50%
Agree (8) — 50.00%
Strongly Agree (6) — 37.50%
[Total (16)]

0   50%   100%

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.25
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.68

Instructor Communication

Overall

CLASS AVG 4.08	
INST-DEPT AVG 4.21	
INST-COLL AVG 4.09	
StFX 4.14	

1. The instructor makes students feel free to ask questions and express their ideas.

CLASS AVG 3.56	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.33	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.20	
StFX 4.29	

2. The criteria for assessment are clearly communicated to students.

CLASS AVG 4.13	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.12	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.02	
StFX 4.02	

3. The instructor's communication skills (volume, enunciation, speed, tone, vocabulary) are appropriate for the course.

CLASS AVG 4.44	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.25	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.11	
StFX 4.20	

4. The instructor is effective in organizing and presenting the course materials.

CLASS AVG 4.19	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.15	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.01	
StFX 4.06	

Instructor Communication

Overall	Value
Mean	4.08
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.04

1. The instructor makes students feel free to ask questions and express their ideas.

Strongly Disagree (1) — 6.25%
Disagree (4) — 25.00%
Neutral (1) — 6.25%
Agree (5) — 31.25%
Strongly Agree (5) — 31.25%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.56
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.36

2. The criteria for assessment are clearly communicated to students.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (2) — 12.50%
Neutral (1) — 6.25%
Agree (6) — 37.50%
Strongly Agree (7) — 43.75%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.13
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.02

3. The instructor's communication skills (volume, enunciation, speed, tone, vocabulary) are appropriate for the course.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (2) — 12.50%
Agree (5) — 31.25%
Strongly Agree (9) — 56.25%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.44
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.73

4. The instructor is effective in organizing and presenting the course materials.

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (4)	25.00%
Agree (5)	31.25%
Strongly Agree (7)	43.75%
[Total (16)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.19
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.83

Knowledge and Expertise

Knowledge and Expertise

The instructor displays suitable knowledge in the subject area.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (2) — 12.50%
Agree (4) — 25.00%
Strongly Agree (10) — 62.50%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.50
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.73

Course Organization

Overall

CLASS AVG 3.79	
INST-DEPT AVG 4.12	
INST-COLL AVG 4.10	
StFX 4.14	

0.00　1.00　2.00　3.00　4.00　5.00

1. Assignments and tests are returned to the students within a reasonable time.

CLASS AVG 3.94	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.17	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.16	
StFX 4.16	

0.00　1.00　2.00　3.00　4.00　5.00

2. The instructor adheres to the criteria for assessment and evaluation as described in the course outline.

CLASS AVG 4.13	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.31	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.21	
StFX 4.23	

0.00　1.00　2.00　3.00　4.00　5.00

3. The course material is well organized.

CLASS AVG 4.25	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.03	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.06	
StFX 4.09	

0.00　1.00　2.00　3.00　4.00　5.00

4. The instructor is available for consultation outside of class

CLASS AVG 3.63	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.30	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.24	
StFX 4.30	

0.00　1.00　2.00　3.00　4.00　5.00

5. I would recommend this course to fellow students.

CLASS AVG 3.00	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 3.77	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.81	
StFX 3.91	

0.00　1.00　2.00　3.00　4.00　5.00

# Course Organization

Overall	Value
Mean	3.79
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.13

## 1. Assignments and tests are returned to the students within a reasonable time.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (1) — 6.25%
Neutral (4) — 25.00%
Agree (6) — 37.50%
Strongly Agree (5) — 31.25%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.94
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.93

2. The instructor adheres to the criteria for assessment and evaluation as described in the course outline.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (3) — 18.75%
Agree (8) — 50.00%
Strongly Agree (5) — 31.25%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.13
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.72

3. The course material is well organized.

Strongly Disagree (1) — 6.25%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (1) — 6.25%
Agree (6) — 37.50%
Strongly Agree (8) — 50.00%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.25
Median	4.50
Standard Deviation	1.06

4. The instructor is available for consultation outside of class

Response	Percentage
Strongly Disagree (1)	6.25%
Disagree (2)	12.50%
Neutral (2)	12.50%
Agree (8)	50.00%
Strongly Agree (3)	18.75%
[Total (16)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.63
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	1.15

Course Organization (continued)

5. I would recommend this course to fellow students.

Response	Percentage
Strongly Disagree (2)	12.50%
Disagree (5)	31.25%
Neutral (3)	18.75%
Agree (3)	18.75%
Strongly Agree (3)	18.75%
[Total (16)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.00
Median	3.00
Standard Deviation	1.37

The amount of material covered in this course is:

The amount of material covered in this course is:

Too low (0)   0.00%
Appropriate (13)   81.25%
Too high (3)   18.75%
[Total (16)]

I would describe this course as:

CLASS AVG 3.00
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 3.45
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.45
StFX 3.56

I would describe this course as:

I would describe this course as:

1 Poor (2)   12.50%
2 Fair (6)   37.50%
3 Good (1)   6.25%
4 Very Good (4)   25.00%
5 Excellent (3)   18.75%
[Total (16)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.00
Median	2.50
Standard Deviation	1.41

Individual Report for 20221044866-Intro to Programming James Hughes

What were the best aspects of this course and/or instructor?

Comments
Dr.Hughes is very enthusiastic about computer science, which made me want to go to class
He was super passionate about computer science and i could tell that he loved to see us working and understanding what he was teaching us. He also was really good about encouraging us and not letting us get disappointed and give up when the course was challenging
Dr. Hughes spiked my interest in computer science and what I can do with it. For the most part, things are marked fairly and if you go to class you will learn everything you need to know.
James Funny. I like his resources.
James is very knowledgeable, and provides a lot of extra resources
Volume, communication
Dr. Hughes has an amazing grasp on not only the course content, but also how to teach it effectively. It's one of the only courses that doesn't feel like a chore to attend. He's excellent at what he does and has made a genuinely engaging and valuable course.
He is very good at explaining what is going on
Dr. Hughes is very good at lecture and creates a good environment for thinking and understanding. Dr. Delamar in lab is very helpful for understanding the material we are doing.
Passionate, his energy makes it easy to pay attention

Are there any aspects of this course or of the instruction that could be improved? If so, please explain.

Comments
This did not feel like an introductory course to computer science. It seemed too advanced and many of my peers and computer science tutor agree. Making the content less or focusing more on the basics would be beneficial.
Overall he was a great instructor and I don't really have any improvements for him I think it was more that the content is super complicated and takes much longer to get the hang of than the course allows for. He would explain things very well but when it came time to apply it the concepts were super complicated and it moved so quickly that I found it quite difficult to keep up
Sometimes he can be a little mean to students and make me a little scared for the ones he picks on in class.
I like his resources.
not much help for examinations, midterm is difficult to prepare for.
Be a little more understanding
Your attitude when answering questions – If I ask you a question, I don't want "google it". I'm not paying $30,000 a year for my profs to tell me to google it. If you don't know the answer, give me your best guess, and tell me what I need to google to get the right answer.
Does not appear to be easily approachable.
Dr. Hughes should be more open to discussion of questions with students, as he has higher understanding in the subject, but asks us to "google" lots of our questions. This makes sense for lots of questions, however, being able to discuss such question with someone of expertise would further a students understanding in the course.
Definitely spending more time on the basics of lists and loops

Do you have any other comments about the course and/or the instructor?

Comments
Many of my peers and I agreed that we did not want to ask James questions/help because often he seems like you should know the answer and be littles you. Very nice professor, but can come off as not wanting to help students.
no
James Funny.
It's so good <3