Creation Date: **Wednesday, June 01, 2022**

blue®

Is this a required course?

Is this a required course?	
Yes (4)	30.77%
No (9)	69.23%
[Total (13)]	

What percentage of classes did you attend in this course?

What percentage of classes did you attend in this course?	
Less than 50% (0)	0.00%
50-74% (1)	7.69%
75-89% (1)	7.69%
90-99% (1)	7.69%
100% (10)	76.92%
[Total (13)]	

Stimulation and Challenge

Overall

CLASS AVG 4.54	
INST-DEPT AVG 4.33	
INST-COLL AVG 4.05	
StFX 4.11	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

1. The level of intellectual challenge in this course is appropriate.

CLASS AVG 4.38	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.26	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.99	
StFX 4.06	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

2. The instructor shows interest and enthusiasm in teaching this course.

CLASS AVG 4.77	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.46	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.30	
StFX 4.39	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

3. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject area.

CLASS AVG 4.54	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.25	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.82	
StFX 3.91	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

4. I was encouraged to apply my knowledge in different ways (i.e. critical thinking, problem solving, analysis, writing skills)

CLASS AVG 4.46	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.37	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.07	
StFX 4.09	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

Stimulation and Challenge

| Overall	Value
Mean	4.54
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.64

Individual Report for 20221052099-Social Issues: Information Age James Hughes

1. The level of intellectual challenge in this course is appropriate.

Response	Percentage
Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (1)	7.69%
Agree (6)	46.15%
Strongly Agree (6)	46.15%
[Total (13)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.38
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.65

2. The instructor shows interest and enthusiasm in teaching this course.

Response	Percentage
Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (0)	0.00%
Agree (3)	23.08%
Strongly Agree (10)	76.92%
[Total (13)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.77
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.44

3. The instructor stimulated my interest in this subject area.

Response	Percentage
Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (1)	7.69%
Agree (4)	30.77%
Strongly Agree (8)	61.54%
[Total (13)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.54
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.66

Stimulation and Challenge (continued)

4. I was encouraged to apply my knowledge in different ways (i.e. critical thinking, problem solving, analysis, writing skills)

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (2) — 15.38%
Agree (3) — 23.08%
Strongly Agree (8) — 61.54%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.46
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.78

Instructor Communication

Overall

CLASS AVG 4.63	
INST-DEPT AVG 4.38	
INST-COLL AVG 4.08	
StFX 4.16	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

1. The instructor makes students feel free to ask questions and express their ideas.

CLASS AVG 4.92	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.47	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.22	
StFX 4.30	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

2. The criteria for assessment are clearly communicated to students.

CLASS AVG 4.23	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.32	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.01	
StFX 4.07	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

3. The instructor's communication skills (volume, enunciation, speed, tone, vocabulary) are appropriate for the course.

CLASS AVG 4.77	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.43	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.13	
StFX 4.21	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

4. The instructor is effective in organizing and presenting the course materials.

CLASS AVG 4.62	
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.30	
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.97	
StFX 4.07	

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

# Instructor Communication

Overall	Value
Mean	4.63
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.60

## 1. The instructor makes students feel free to ask questions and express their ideas.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (0) — 0.00%
Agree (1) — 7.69%
Strongly Agree (12) — 92.31%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.92
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.28

2. The criteria for assessment are clearly communicated to students.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (2) — 15.38%
Agree (6) — 46.15%
Strongly Agree (5) — 38.46%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.23
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.73

3. The instructor's communication skills (volume, enunciation, speed, tone, vocabulary) are appropriate for the course.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (0) — 0.00%
Agree (3) — 23.08%
Strongly Agree (10) — 76.92%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.77
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.44

## 4. The instructor is effective in organizing and presenting the course materials.

Response	Percentage
Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (1)	7.69%
Agree (3)	23.08%
Strongly Agree (9)	69.23%
[Total (13)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.62
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.65

Knowledge and Expertise

Knowledge and Expertise

The instructor displays suitable knowledge in the subject area.

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.69
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.63

Course Organization

Overall

CLASS AVG 4.34
INST-DEPT AVG 4.28
INST-COLL AVG 4.06
StFX 4.13

1. Assignments and tests are returned to the students within a reasonable time.

CLASS AVG 4.15
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.20
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.09
StFX 4.10

2. The instructor adheres to the criteria for assessment and evaluation as described in the course outline.

CLASS AVG 4.31
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.38
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.19
StFX 4.25

3. The course material is well organized.

CLASS AVG 4.62
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.22
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.00
StFX 4.10

4. The instructor is available for consultation outside of class

CLASS AVG 4.08
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.35
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 4.21
StFX 4.27

5. I would recommend this course to fellow students.

CLASS AVG 4.54
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 4.23
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.81
StFX 3.92

Course Organization

Overall	Value
Mean	4.34
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.71

## 1. Assignments and tests are returned to the students within a reasonable time.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (2) — 15.38%
Agree (7) — 53.85%
Strongly Agree (4) — 30.77%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.15
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.69

2. The instructor adheres to the criteria for assessment and evaluation as described in the course outline.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (1) — 7.69%
Agree (7) — 53.85%
Strongly Agree (5) — 38.46%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.31
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.63

3. The course material is well organized.

Strongly Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Disagree (0) — 0.00%
Neutral (1) — 7.69%
Agree (3) — 23.08%
Strongly Agree (9) — 69.23%
[Total (13)]

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.62
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.65

4. The instructor is available for consultation outside of class

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (4)	30.77%
Agree (4)	30.77%
Strongly Agree (5)	38.46%
[Total (13)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.08
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.86

Individual Report for 20221052099-Social Issues: Information Age James Hughes

Course Organization (continued)

5. I would recommend this course to fellow students.

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (1)	7.69%
Agree (4)	30.77%
Strongly Agree (8)	61.54%
[Total (13)]	

Statistics	Value
Mean	4.54
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	0.66

The amount of material covered in this course is:

The amount of material covered in this course is:

Too low (2)	15.38%
Appropriate (11)	84.62%
Too high (0)	0.00%
[Total (13)]	

0   50%   100%

I would describe this course as:

CLASS AVG 3.92
INST-DEPT AVG (CSCI) 3.79
INST-COLL AVG (SC) 3.44
StFX 3.56

0.00   1.00   2.00   3.00   4.00   5.00

I would describe this course as:

I would describe this course as:

1 Poor (0)	0.00%
2 Fair (0)	0.00%
3 Good (4)	30.77%
4 Very Good (6)	46.15%
5 Excellent (3)	23.08%
[Total (13)]	

0   50%   100%

Statistics	Value
Mean	3.92
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	0.76

What were the best aspects of this course and/or instructor?

Comments
NA
Everything was good
I liked the prof a lot! He's a good guy and encouraged us to think for ourselves and discuss the topics covered.
This was a fascinating and fun course and Dr. Hughes kept things professional, but also fun
The discussions, where we were able to talk about our own personal opinions as well as listen to those of others.
The prof has a way of being able to present the material in a fun and interesting way. This is a three–hour course, in the middle of the week, at night so it can sometimes be hard to focus. This being an interesting subject with an interesting prof makes it so much easier to pay attention. I also enjoy talking in small groups as it is a way to discuss the topics without having to talk in front of the whole class.
It is online. Given the time of classes, this was helpful.
This class was very different from other classes I've taken and while I'm not usually someone whose overly talkative in classes I found myself enjoying our group discussions

Are there any aspects of this course or of the instruction that could be improved? If so, please explain.

Comments
NA
No
It could be planned out beforehand a little better.
I know the course was supposed to be in–person originally, but group projects of more than 4 people are just unreasonable when trying to coordinate online. Especially when we did not make the groups ourselves. We could not find a time in the day during the entire course of the project where all 7 of us were at the same place at the same time. Maybe this is an us problem, but coordinating 7 people, especially when only 3–4 of them seem to actually care about their grade in the class was an experience I would not wish on my worst enemy.
N/A
The group projects. The sharing in the groups was fine but our group project has been miserable. First of all, I was in a group of 7 which I think is too large. It was impossible to find a time to get together that worked for everyone which ended up causing the project to take a lot longer. Second, I felt like a lot of my group did not pull their weight and I do not believe it is fair for me, who is someone who cares about their grades, to be stuck with groupmates who do not pull their weight. If you have to do a group project, rather than randomly assigning a group could you do a survey of student's attitudes towards group projects and grades and try to pair together people with similar views (ie everyone who likes to get work done well before the due date in one group and those who submit a minute before the deadline in other)? I personally would rather do the whole assignment alone than work with others.
I seriously do not like group work, and the group project was a big part of this course.
I think I would enjoy in–person class more but I totally understand why its online

Do you have any other comments about the course and/or the instructor?

Comments
NA
No
Class was great, but please come up with a different final project if the course is online again. No one should have to go through that kind of thing.
N/A
I felt like it took a little bit too long for the reports to be graded but I also recognize there are roughly 50 people in the course so I am a little more forgiven. I also found the evaluation criteria is a little vague. One thing a lost points on in the first two reports was not going deep enough but I don't know how I could have gone deeper.