

**St. Francis Xavier University**  
**Department of Computer Science**  
**CSCI 356: Theory of Computing**  
**Assignment 4**  
**Due December 6, 2021 at 1:15pm**

---

**Assignment Regulations.**

- This assignment may be completed individually or in a group of up to four people. If you are collaborating on an assignment as a group, your group must submit exactly one joint set of answers.
  - Please include your full name and email address on your submission. For groups, every member must include their full name and email address on the joint submission.
  - You may either handwrite or typeset your submission. If your submission is handwritten, please ensure that the handwriting is neat and legible.
- 

- [4 marks] 1. Consider the following decision problem for regular expressions:

$$IN_{RE} = \{\langle R, S \rangle \mid R \text{ and } S \text{ are regular expressions and } L(R) \subseteq L(S)\}.$$

Is  $IN_{RE}$  decidable or undecidable? If it is decidable, give a decision procedure to decide the problem. If it is undecidable, give a reduction to prove undecidability.

*Hint.* You may find the following fact useful:  $L(R) \subseteq L(S)$  if and only if  $L(R) \cap \overline{L(S)} = \emptyset$ .

- [4 marks] 2. Consider the following decision problem for context-free grammars:

$$A_{\epsilon CFG} = \{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a context-free grammar that generates the empty word } \epsilon\}.$$

Is  $A_{\epsilon CFG}$  decidable or undecidable? If it is decidable, give a decision procedure to decide the problem. If it is undecidable, give a reduction to prove undecidability.

- [6 marks] 3. Recall the emptiness problem for context-free grammars,  $E_{CFG}$ . Answer each of the following questions about  $E_{CFG}$  and give a brief (1–2 sentence) justification for each answer.

- (a) Does there exist a *decidable* language  $B$  such that  $E_{CFG} \leq_m B$ ?
- (b) Does there exist an *undecidable* language  $C$  such that  $C \leq_m E_{CFG}$ ?

- [6 marks] 4. Recall the universality problem for deterministic finite automata,  $U_{DFA}$ . We know that  $U_{DFA}$  is decidable. Prove additionally that  $U_{DFA} \in P$  by giving a polynomial-time algorithm to decide the problem. Give a brief (1–2 sentence) justification of why your algorithm (i) is correct, and (ii) runs in polynomial time.

- [5 marks] 5. *Bonus.* A deterministic two-dimensional automaton is a finite automaton that receives as input a two-dimensional word (i.e., an array of symbols from some alphabet  $\Sigma$ ) and can move its input head through the input word in any of four directions: upward, downward, leftward, and rightward.

Consider the following decision problem for two-dimensional automata:

$$EQ_{2\text{DFA-4W}} = \{\langle \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \rangle \mid \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_2 \text{ are deterministic two-dimensional automata and } L(\mathcal{M}_1) = L(\mathcal{M}_2)\}.$$

Prove that  $EQ_{2\text{DFA-4W}}$  is undecidable.