

St. Francis Xavier University
Department of Computer Science
CSCI 544: Computational Logic
Assignment 2
Due November 5, 2025 at 9:30am

Assignment Regulations.

- This assignment may be completed individually or in a group of two people. If you are collaborating on an assignment as a group, your group must submit exactly one joint set of answers.
 - Please include your full name and email address on your submission. For groups, every member must include their full name and email address on the joint submission.
 - You may either handwrite or typeset your submission. If your submission is handwritten, please ensure that the handwriting is neat and legible.
-

- [10 marks] 1. (a) Let $P(x, y)$ denote the predicate “ $x < y$ ” over the domain \mathbb{R} . For each of the following statements, express the statement as a predicate logic formula involving P .
- i. For every number, there is a smaller number.
 - ii. For any two numbers, if the numbers are different, then one number is less than the other.
 - iii. The number 7 is between a and b .

- (b) Recall the exclusive-or operation, \oplus . Give two predicates $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ such that $\forall x (P(x) \oplus Q(x))$ is true, but $\forall x P(x) \oplus \forall x Q(x)$ is false. Specify the domain of your predicates. Explain why, given your predicates, the former formula is true while the latter formula is false.

- [7 marks] 2. Suppose that we were allowed to use interpretations over an empty domain; that is, a domain D containing no elements.
- (a) Would a universally quantified formula $\forall x P(x)$ be true over an empty domain? Explain why or why not.
 - (b) Would an existentially quantified formula $\exists x P(x)$ be true over an empty domain? Explain why or why not.
 - (c) Using your observations from parts (a) and (b), what can be said about the equivalence

$$\forall y P(y, y) \vee \exists x Q(x, x) \equiv \exists x (\forall y P(y, y) \vee Q(x, x))$$

if we take the domain to be empty? Does the equivalence hold or not hold?

- [8 marks] 3. Using the method of semantic tableaux, determine whether the following formula is valid:

$$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\forall x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)).$$

For ease of writing, you can use the list format to prove validity instead of the tree format.

- [15 marks] 4. Prove the validity of each of the following sequents using natural deduction.
- (a) $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$.
 - (b) $\forall x \neg P(x) \vdash \neg \exists x P(x)$.