

**St. Francis Xavier University**  
**Department of Computer Science**  
**CSCI 355: Algorithm Design and Analysis**  
**Assignment 1**  
**Due January 26, 2023 at 1:15pm**

- [8 marks] 1. In our discussion of the stable matching problem, we assumed that our preference lists were totally ordered: in a given list, the first option was preferred over the second option, the second over the third, and so on. Here, we will consider a variant of the problem where we allow for indifference between options.

Suppose we have a set  $S$  of  $n$  students and a set  $H$  of  $n$  hospitals, with their associated preference lists, but now either list can indicate a tie between two students or two hospitals. As an example, when  $n = 4$ , one hospital could rank student  $s_1$  first, rank both students  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  second (meaning that the hospital has no preference between these two students), and rank student  $s_4$  last. As before, we say that hospital  $h$  *prefers* student  $s$  over student  $s'$  if  $s$  is ranked strictly higher than  $s'$  on the preference list of  $h$  (i.e.,  $s$  and  $s'$  are not tied).

- (a) Say that a perfect matching  $M$  has *strong instability* if there exists a student  $s$  and a hospital  $h$  such that both  $s$  and  $h$  prefer the other to their assigned match in  $M$ .

Does there always exist a perfect matching with no strong instability? If so, give a procedure that is guaranteed to find a perfect matching with no strong instability. If not, give an example of a set of students  $S$  and a set of hospitals  $H$  whose preference lists are such that every perfect matching has a strong instability.

- (b) Say that a perfect matching  $M$  has *weak instability* if there exists a student  $s$  and a hospital  $h$  such that (i) their matches are  $h'$  and  $s'$ , respectively, and (ii) one of the following properties holds:

- $s$  prefers  $h$  over  $h'$ , and  $h$  prefers  $s$  over  $s'$  or is indifferent; or
- $h$  prefers  $s$  over  $s'$ , and  $s$  prefers  $h$  over  $h'$  or is indifferent.

Does there always exist a perfect matching with no weak instability? If so, give a procedure that is guaranteed to find a perfect matching with no weak instability. If not, give an example of a set of students  $S$  and a set of hospitals  $H$  whose preference lists are such that every perfect matching has a weak instability.

- [6 marks] 2. Arrange the following functions in order from slowest growth rate to fastest growth rate. If function  $f(n)$  is in position  $i$  of your list and function  $g(n)$  is in position  $i + 1$  of your list, then this is equivalent to saying that  $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ . Give a brief justification for your ordering.

$$\begin{array}{ll} f_1 = 4^{\log(n)} & f_4 = (3/2)^{n+1} \\ f_2 = e^n & f_5 = n + n \log(n) \\ f_3 = \ln(n) & f_6 = n \cdot 2^n \end{array}$$

- [4 marks] 3. For each of the following blocks of pseudocode, give an analysis of the time complexity with as tight a bound as possible. You do not need to give a formal proof, but you should give both upper and lower bounds together with justifications for each of your answers.

(a) `for i from 1 to n*n:  
    j = n  
    while j > 0:  
        j = j-1`

```
(b) x = 0
    for i from 1 to n*n:
        for j from 1 to i*i:
            x = x+1
```

- [7 marks] 4. You have just gotten a job at a Canadian telecommunications company that has been in the news for network outages. They're getting tired of the bad press, so they want you to prove that if a node that is *just* far enough away from their headquarters goes down, they can't be blamed for the network outage. The problem can be formulated as follows. Suppose the network contains  $n$  nodes, and consider the company's headquarters at node  $s$  together with some other specified node  $t$ . Assume that the distance between  $s$  and  $t$  is strictly greater than  $n/2$ . You must prove to the boss of the company that there exists some node  $v$  between  $s$  and  $t$  (where  $v \neq s$  and  $v \neq t$ ) such that, if  $v$  is removed from the network, then all  $s$ - $t$  paths are severed.

*Hint.* Show that there must exist at least one "layer" between  $s$  and  $t$  that consists of a single node.