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ABSTRACT
The stability-limit conjecture (SLC) proposes that the liquid spinodal of water returns to positive pressure in the supercooled region and that the apparent divergence of water’s thermodynamic response functions as temperature decreases are explained by the approach to this re-entrant spinodal. Subsequently, it has been argued that the predictions of the SLC are inconsistent with general thermodynamic principles. Here, we reconsider the thermodynamic viability of the SLC by examining a model equation of state for water which was first studied to clarify the relationship of the SLC to the proposed liquid-liquid phase transition in supercooled water. By demonstrating that a binodal may terminate on a spinodal at a point that is not a critical point, we show that the SLC is thermodynamically permissible in a system that has both a liquid-gas and a liquid-liquid phase transition. We also describe and clarify other unusual thermodynamic behavior that may arise in such a system, particularly that associated with the so-called “critical-point-free” scenario for a liquid-liquid phase transition, which may apply to the case of liquid Si.

I. INTRODUCTION

The “stability-limit conjecture” (SLC) was one of the first attempts to provide a unified thermodynamic understanding of the multitude of anomalies of cold and supercooled water. The predictions of the SLC are illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. The principal claim of the SLC is the behavior that it proposes for the limit of metastability, or spinodal, of the liquid phase. In the temperature-pressure (T–P) phase diagram, the liquid spinodal begins at the gas-liquid (G-L) critical point and lies below the G-L coexistence curve, or binodal. In a simple liquid, the liquid spinodal is expected to decrease monotonically into the negative pressure region as T decreases. However, as first noted by Speedy, the existence of a density maximum in liquid water can alter the behavior of the liquid spinodal. Specifically, Speedy showed that if the temperature of maximum density (TMD) line intersects the liquid spinodal, then the slope of the spinodal in the T–P plane will change sign, creating the potential for the spinodal to be a re-entrant, that is, return to positive P. If a reentrant liquid spinodal occurs at positive P in the supercooled liquid, then the rapid increase in thermodynamic response functions upon cooling can be attributed to the divergence of fluctuations expected at a spinodal.

The SLC stimulated a large body of works focusing on the overall thermodynamic properties of water and played a pivotal role in guiding the discovery of other scenarios. The liquid-liquid critical point (LLCP) scenario was proposed as a direct result of an attempt to test the predictions of the SLC in simulations of ST2 water. In the LLCP scenario, the observed anomalies of water arise as a consequence of a line of first-order liquid-liquid phase transitions that occurs in the phase diagram for supercooled water, terminating at a critical point. Below the temperature of this critical point, there are two distinct phases of supercooled water, a low density liquid (LDL) and a high density liquid (HDL). Other scenarios that have been developed to understand supercooled water, and related liquids with tetrahedral structure such as Si and SiO2, are the singularity-free scenario and the critical-point-free (CPF) scenario. The CPF scenario is a variant of the LLCP case in which the critical point of the LDL-HDL phase transition occurs at such large negative pressure that it has become unobservable due to cavitation of the liquid phase (see Refs. 9–11 for recent overviews of these scenarios).

In 2003, Debenedetti argued that the key predictions of the SLC are inconsistent with thermodynamics. This critique is based on the observation, illustrated in Fig. 1, that a re-entrant liquid spinodal...
will necessarily intersect the metastable extension of the G-L binodal in the supercooled region. In the absence of any other phase transitions, the intersection of a liquid spinodal and a G-L binodal must be a critical point. Furthermore, just like at the high temperature G-L critical point, the liquid spinodal will end at such a spinodal-binodal intersection. The continuation of the liquid spinodal beyond this intersection thus appears to be precluded on thermodynamic grounds. Assuming that the G-L binodal is monotonic in the $T-P$ plane, the intersection with the spinodal must occur at a pressure below the triple point, which lies below atmospheric pressure. In this case, a re-entrant spinodal will not be encountered on cooling at ambient and higher $P$, and so it cannot explain the observed anomalies of supercooled water.

In an exchange of comments, Speedy and Debenedetti debated this critique of the SLC. Speedy disagreed with Debenedetti’s assertion that a spinodal-binodal intersection must always be a critical point, citing the example of the (noncritical) endpoint expected to occur at negative pressure on the metastable extension of the crystal-liquid binodal where it meets the liquid spinodal in a simple atomic system. However, in the absence of an example of a noncritical spinodal-binodal intersection involving only fluid phases, Debenedetti’s critique has been widely accepted. As a consequence, the SLC is now usually excluded from consideration as a viable explanation for the behavior of water and waterlike liquids (see, e.g., Refs. 9, 10, and 15).

Nonetheless, there has recently been renewed interest in the SLC. New experiments are making steady progress at quantifying the properties of water at negative pressure, where the predictions of the SLC and other thermodynamic scenarios may be directly tested. As we will see, in the EVDW model, it is the presence of the liquid-liquid transition that makes it possible to terminate the gas-liquid binodal in the manner predicted by the SLC.

II. EXTENDED VAN DER WAALS MODEL

The EVDW model is a simple equation of state that incorporates the physics of both a gas-liquid and a liquid-liquid phase transition. The motivation and details of the EVDW model are fully described in Ref. 20. Here, we briefly summarize the formulation of the model. In the EVDW model, the molar Helmholtz free energy $A$ is given by

$$A(v,T) = A_{\text{VDW}} + 2A_{\text{HB}},$$

where

$$A_{\text{VDW}} = -RT\ln[(v-b)/\Lambda^3] + 1 - a^2/v,$$

$$A_{\text{HB}} = -RT\ln[\Omega + \exp(-\epsilon_{\text{HB}}/RT)] - (1-f)RT\ln(\Omega + 1),$$

and

$$f = \exp\left(-\left[(v - u_{\text{HB}})/\sigma\right]^2\right).$$

The analysis of these two systems indicated that each has a closed loop G-L coexistence region with a G-L critical point at both high and low $T$. In both models, the G-L coexistence curve is not monotonic in the $T-P$ plane, at odds with the monotonicity implicitly assumed in Debenedetti’s reasoning. However, consistent with Debenedetti’s analysis, the liquid spinodal terminates on cooling at the low-$T$ critical point. Recent simulations of the generalized Stillinger-Weber model have also produced evidence of a re-entrant liquid spinodal at negative pressure, although it is not yet clear in this case if the spinodal extends to positive $P$ at lower $T$.

In addition, it is possible for the CPF scenario to include behavior reminiscent of the SLC. This was first demonstrated in Ref. 20, where an "extended van der Waals" (EVDW) model equation of state for water was shown to generate both the LLCP scenario and a scenario that included a SLC-like re-entrant spinodal. The latter case was subsequently associated with the CPF scenario. However, Ref. 20 did not present an analysis of all of the binodals and spinodals occurring in each scenario, including that with a re-entrant spinodal. The prospect therefore exists that a more detailed study of the EVDW model may be able to provide examples of spinodal-binodal intersections that can help resolve the thermodynamic viability of the SLC.

Accordingly, in the present work, we re-examine the EVDW model, with the aim of clarifying the behavior of all binodals and spinodals that occur in both the LLCP and CPF scenarios. As shown below, we find that the EVDW model exhibits several cases where a binodal terminates on a spinodal at a point which is not a conventional critical point. In the following, we refer to such points as "Speedy points," to acknowledge Speedy’s recognition of their significance in metastable systems. At the same time, we also find that a speedy point only occurs when there is more than one phase coexistence in the system. Thus, our observations are not in conflict with Debenedetti’s critique of the original SLC, which explicitly considers only the gas-liquid transition. As we will see, in the EVDW model, it is the presence of the liquid-liquid transition that makes it possible to terminate the gas-liquid binodal in the manner predicted by the SLC.
$A_{\text{VDW}}$ is the Helmholtz free energy for the van der Waals fluid. $A_{\text{HB}}$ models the influence of hydrogen bonds on the liquid phase. To describe the free energy contributed by bonds between pairs of neighboring water molecules, each bond is modeled as an independent ($\Omega + 1$)-state system. There are $\Omega$ possible non-hydrogen-bonded states each of zero energy and one hydrogen bonded state of energy $\epsilon_{\text{HB}} < 0$. The factor of 2 in Eq. (1) arises because there are two hydrogen bonds per molecule. The function $f$ defined in Eq. (4) models the fact that only when the molar volume $v$ of the liquid is near the optimal (icelike) value $v_{\text{HB}}$ can all the bonds in the system have the potential to form hydrogen bonds. In the above equations, $R$ is the gas constant, $A$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength, $v$ is the molar volume in m$^3$/mol, $a = 12.01 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$/mol$^{-1}$, $b = 0.218$ Pa$^{1/2}$ m$^3$/mol, $\Omega = \exp(-S_{\text{HB}}/R)$ with $S_{\text{HB}} = -90$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, $v_{\text{HB}} = 19.58 \times 10^{-6}$ m$^3$/mol, and $\sigma = v_{\text{HB}}/4$. The physical motivation for choosing the values of these parameters is described in Ref. 20.

To obtain the different thermodynamic scenarios discussed below, we vary only the value of $\epsilon_{\text{HB}}$. To evaluate the phase diagram for each case, we first find the pressure equation of state $P(v, T)$ from $P = -(\partial A/\partial v)_T$. Spinodal lines are then found as the set of points satisfying $(\partial P/\partial v)_T = 0$. Binodals (i.e., coexistence curves) are obtained from the isotherms of $P(v, T)$ using the Maxwell equal-area construction. In the following, we plot the phase behavior as projected into both the $\rho$–$T$ and $T$–$P$ planes, where the mass density $\rho = M/v$ and $M = 18.016$ g/mol is the molar mass of water.

We note that since $P$ is related to the derivative of $A$ with respect to $v$, the contribution of $A_{\text{HB}}$ to the $v$ dependence of $P$, through
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**FIG. 2.** Surface plots of $P(\rho, T)$ for (a) $\epsilon_{\text{HB}} = -22$ kJ/mol, (b) $\epsilon_{\text{HB}} = -17.42$ kJ/mol, and (c) $\epsilon_{\text{HB}} = -14$ kJ/mol. Definitions for all lines are given in Table I.
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**FIG. 3.** (a) $\rho$–$T$ and (b) $T$–$P$ phase behavior for $\epsilon_{\text{HB}} = -22$ kJ/mol, for which the model predicts a LLCP at positive pressure. Definitions for all lines are given in Table I. Filled circles are critical points. Unstable regions are shaded gray in (a).
the Gaussian function \( f \), has the form of the first Hermite polyno-
mial (which also describes the shape of the wave function of the first
excited state of the one-dimensional quantum harmonic oscillator).
As we will see below, the effect of this form is to enhance the ther-
modynamic stability of the system when \( v \) is close to \( v_{HB} \). At low
\( T \), this term adds an additional "van der Waals loop" to the pressure
equation of state, creating a liquid-liquid transition distinct from the
gas-liquid transition.

III. PHASE DIAGRAMS

We study the variation in the properties of the EVDW model
over the range \( e_{HB} = -22 \) to \(-14 \) kJ/mol. The changes in the equation
of state \( P(\rho, T) \) are shown in Fig. 2. The variation in the \( \rho – T \)
and \( T–P \) phase diagrams is shown in Figs. 3–7. In all our plots, bin-
odals are plotted as solid lines and spinodals are plotted as dashed
lines. The TMD is plotted as a thin dotted line. To identify specific
binodals and spinodals, we use the following notation: \( C(x–y) \) refers
to the binodal representing the coexistence of phases \( x \) and \( y \), and
\( S(x) \) refers to the spinodal representing the limit of metastability of
phase \( x \).

As \( T \to 0 \), there are always three distinct phases in our system:
gas (G), LDL, and HDL. The EVDW model does not consider crys-
tal phases, and so for a real system, the behavior discussed here will
usually correspond at low \( T \) to states that are metastable relative to
a crystalline phase. Also, as this is a mean-field model, our formu-
lation does not discriminate between ergodic and nonergodic states.
Hence, our results report the equilibrium properties of the system
even as \( T \to 0 \), where any realistic system would be below its glass
transition temperature. When \( T \) is sufficiently large so that coex-
istence is not possible between distinct LDL and HDL phases, we
simply refer to the liquid phase (L). Depending on the value of
\( e_{HB} \), we find that up to four distinct spinodals and three distinct binodals
are observed. A complete list of all the spinodals and binodals iden-
tified and plotted in Figs. 2–7 is given in Table I, along with the color
and line type used to plot each.

As pointed out in Ref. 20, there are two regimes of phase behav-
ior, depending on whether \( e_{HB} \) is less or greater than \( e_{HB}^0 = -16.55 \)
kJ/mol. The regime \( e_{HB} < e_{HB}^0 \) is illustrated in Figs. 3–5 and cor-
responds to the LLCP scenario. In this case, there are distinct criti-
cal points terminating separate \( C(G–L) \) and \( C(LDL–HDL) \) binodals,
FIG. 6. (a) \( \rho - T \) and (b) \( T-P \) phase behavior for \( e_{\text{int}} = -16.5 \text{ kJ/mol} \), for which the model predicts a CPF scenario. Definitions for all lines are given in Table I. Filled circles are critical points and open circles are Speedy points. Unstable regions are shaded gray in (a).

FIG. 7. (a) \( \rho - T \) and (b) \( T-P \) phase behavior for \( e_{\text{int}} = -14 \text{ kJ/mol} \), for which the model predicts a CPF scenario. Definitions for all lines are given in Table I. Filled circles are critical points and open circles are Speedy points. Unstable regions are shaded gray in (a).

TABLE I. Definitions and abbreviations for all phase diagram features presented in this work. In all phase diagram plots, the indicated colors and line types are used to plot the corresponding features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Line color and type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S(G)</td>
<td>Orange dashed</td>
<td>Gas spinodal originating in the G–L critical point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(L)</td>
<td>Black dashed</td>
<td>Liquid spinodal originating in the G–L critical point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(LDL)</td>
<td>Magenta dashed</td>
<td>LDL spinodal originating in a liquid-liquid critical point, or that forms the boundary of an isolated region of LDL stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S(HDL)</td>
<td>Cyan dashed</td>
<td>HDL spinodal originating in the LDL–HDL critical point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(G–L)</td>
<td>Red solid</td>
<td>G–L binodal originating in the G–L critical point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(LDL–HDL)</td>
<td>Blue solid</td>
<td>LDL–HDL binodal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(G–LDL)</td>
<td>Green solid</td>
<td>G–LDL binodal that is distinct from C(G–L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD</td>
<td>Black dotted</td>
<td>Temperature of maximum density line</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and two separate regions of instability occur in the $\rho - T$ plane. LDL is found between these two regions of instability at low $T$, but there is always a continuous path leading out of the LDL phase to the high-$T$ liquid phase L. Figure 3 presents the case where the LDL–HDL critical point occurs at positive pressure. If the pressure of the LDL–HDL critical point drops below $C(G–L)$, as shown in Fig. 4, then a triple point involving the phases G, LDL, and HDL occurs, and a new binodal $C(G–LDL)$ appears that is distinct from $C(G–L)$. We also note that in the narrow range $-17.42 \text{kJ/mol} < \epsilon_{HB} < -14 \text{kJ/mol}$, we observe two liquid-liquid critical points (see Fig. 5). We discuss this unexpected phenomenon in Sec. V.

The regime $\epsilon_{HB} > -14 \text{kJ/mol}$ is illustrated in Figs. 6 and 7 and corresponds to the CPF scenario. In this case, the two separate unstable regions in the $\rho - T$ plane merge into a single continuous unstable region that completely encloses an isolated “pocket” of stability associated with the LDL phase. In this case, there is no thermodynamic path from the LDL phase to another phase that does not involve a first-order phase transition. The only critical point that occurs in this regime is the G–L critical point.

### IV. INTERSECTION OF A SPINODAL AND A BINODAL

Figures 3–7 illustrate several instances of Speedy points, where a binodal ends on a spinodal at a point that is not a critical point. For example, when $\epsilon_{HB} = -17.42 \text{kJ/mol}$ (see Fig. 4), the $C(G–L)$ binodal ends when its high density L branch touches S(HDL). The L phase that coexists with G under these conditions becomes unstable at S(HDL) and so $C(G–L)$ is not defined at lower $T$, even though the G phase remains well-defined and stable. In the low-$T$ limit, it is the LDL phase that coexists with G, as defined by a distinct $C(G–LDL)$ binodal. In a similar manner, the $C(G–LDL)$ binodal ends as $T$ increases when its LDL branch encounters S(LDL).

When $\epsilon_{HB} = -14 \text{kJ/mol}$ (see Figs. 7 and 8), all three binodals end at Speedy points. In the $T–P$ plane, $C(G–L)$ ends as $T$ decreases on S(L) and both $C(G–LDL)$ and $C(LDL–HDL)$ end at different points on S(LDL). Figure 8(a) shows a close-up of the region of the $T–P$ plane in which these three Speedy points occur. In Fig. 8(b), we present a schematic version of the same behavior to clarify the inter-relationship of the spinodals and binodals in the vicinity of the triple point, since these relationships are difficult to see on the scale of Fig. 8(a).

The endpoint of $C(LDL–HDL)$ on S(LDL) is particularly clear because the shape of both branches of the $C(LDL–HDL)$ binodal in the $\rho - T$ plane, and their relationship to nearby spinodals, is readily visualized. As shown in Fig. 7(a), the LDL branch of $C(LDL–HDL)$ ends on S(LDL), beyond which the LDL phase is unstable. The HDL branch of $C(LDL–HDL)$ thus also ends since there is no LDL phase for HDL to coexist with under these conditions. However, the HDL phase at this endpoint is a well-defined and stable thermodynamic state. The Speedy point that terminates the $C(LDL–HDL)$ binodal is therefore a point at which one of the two phases involved in the coexistence exhibits diverging fluctuations and becomes unstable at its spinodal, while the other phase remains stable and is not near its spinodal. This behavior is in contrast to a conventional critical point, at which both coexisting phases simultaneously exhibit diverging fluctuations as they reach the end of the binodal, where the spinodals for each phase also converge. As shown in Fig. 7(a), the signature in the $\rho - T$ plane of the Speedy point for $C(LDL–HDL)$ is an “open binodal.” That is, the binodal does not enclose a well-defined region of the $\rho - T$ plane, as it would if it were terminated by a critical point.

The termination of $C(G–L)$ on S(L) shown in Figs. 7 and 8 is the relevant case for clarifying the thermodynamic viability of the SLC. When $\epsilon_{HB} = -14 \text{kJ/mol}$, S(L) forms a continuous metastability limit for the liquid phase, starting from the G–L critical point and extending all the way to $T=0$. The intersection in the $T–P$ plane of $C(G–L)$ and S(L) is an example of a gas-liquid binodal which meets the liquid spinodal emanating from the gas-liquid critical point. This is exactly the intersection which is predicted by the SLC and which is critiqued in Ref. 12. As shown in Fig. 7, this intersection is not a critical point. The G–L binodal remains open at this point, and although the liquid phase becomes unstable, the gas phase remains a distinct and
well-defined phase. Furthermore, S(L) is a re-entrant spinodal in the T–P plane, returning from negative to positive P as T decreases, precisely as proposed in the SLC. The behavior displayed in Figs. 7 and 8 thus demonstrates that the predictions of the SLC are consistent with thermodynamics and may be realized in a system that exhibits both a gas-liquid and a liquid-liquid phase transition.

Our results emphasize that a spinodal is defined only as a metastability limit of a single phase. Information about the new phase that is created beyond the spinodal instability is not encoded in the spinodal itself. For example, consider the T = 400 K isotherm in Fig. 7(a). In a system of fixed volume, a single homogeneous L phase can exist for any ρ greater than that of S(L). If ρ decreases to values less than that of S(L), then a fixed-volume system at 400 K must decay into a system with coexisting L and G phases. However, at T = 50 K, a fixed-volume system will decay into a system with coexisting HDL and LDL phases when ρ decreases through the density of S(L). That is, even though S(L) is a single continuous spinodal, moving to a state point lying beyond S(L) produces a different result, depending on T. Therefore, even though S(L) originates in the G-L critical point, it should not be assumed that crossing S(L) will produce the G phase.

At the same time, the CPF scenario depicted schematically in Fig. 8(b) also revives the possibility, implicit in the original predictions of the SLC, that the liquid phase might cavitate to the gas phase on cooling at constant P > 0, so long as P is not too large. That is, if the liquid is cooled isobarically at a sufficiently low positive P, it will encounter S(L) at a point where both the LDL and G phases are observable and where G is the more stable phase of the two. Such a path is illustrated by the horizontal arrow in Fig. 8(b). Depending on the nonequilibrium kinetics of the system as it begins to change phase after crossing S(L), it thus may be possible to observe liquid cavitation on cooling at small positive P, just as predicted by the SLC. In practice, we expect this outcome to be unlikely, as it is difficult to imagine a kinetic pathway that would completely miss the metastable LDL phase that lies between the densities of HDL and G. Nonetheless, the thermodynamic permissibility of this intriguing behavior is worth noting.

V. THERMODYNAMIC BEHAVIOR IN THE CRITICAL-POINT-FREE SCENARIO

Most current estimates for the location of a LLCP in supercooled water place it at positive P in which case the CPF scenario will not be relevant for understanding water itself. However, it is possible that other waterlike systems may exhibit the CPF phase behavior. In particular, a recent ab initio simulation study of liquid Si finds phase behavior that fits the pattern of the CPF scenario, including a re-entrant spinodal. The CPF scenario in this case is particularly complex in that the LDL-HDL binodal, the TMD line, and multiple spinodals seem to converge in the negative pressure region (see Fig. 4 of Ref. 22).

Our results clarify the thermodynamic behavior for the CPF scenario as realized in the EVWD model. The CPF scenario is usually described as the case where the C(LDL-HDL) binodal ends because it intersects S(L) and where the LDL-HDL critical point disappears into the unstable region. As shown in Figs. 7 and 8, the CPF scenario arises in the EVWD model when C(LDL-HDL) ends on S(LDL), not on S(L). That is, the LDL-HDL binodal ends at a point where LDL becomes unstable, but where HDL remains stable. The LDL-HDL critical point is not hidden in the unstable region; it has ceased to exist. Furthermore, the identity of S(L) does not change in the low-T region where the LDL-HDL transition occurs because S(L) does not make contact with C(LDL-HDL). At a qualitative level, the CPF scenario realized in Fig. 7 corresponds well with the behavior found for liquid Si in Ref. 22, suggesting that the detailed picture provided by the EVWD model can help interpret the results of such simulations.

As noted in Sec. IV, we identify several unusual features in the phase diagrams associated with the CPF scenario. One of these is the "open" binodal envelope observed in the case of the LDL-HDL phase transition depicted in Fig. 7(a). Normally, we would expect that the high and low density branches of the binodal curve in the ρ–T plane for a liquid-liquid phase transition should merge at a critical point as T increases. Here, we see that this merger is pre-empted by the loss of stability of one of the phases, in this case LDL, and the result is an open binodal. An implication of this behavior is the existence of a continuous thermodynamic path by which a stable phase (e.g., a HDL state point lying outside of the C(LDL-HDL) envelope) can be converted to a metastable phase (e.g., a HDL state point lying between C(LDL-HDL) and S(L)) without ever crossing the associated binodal curve. Such a path is not possible when the binodal is a single continuous boundary in the ρ–T plane. The stability field of LDL in Fig. 7(a) is also unusual in that the region of thermodynamic stability occurs inside a spinodal envelope [S(LDL)], rather than outside, which is typically the case. In addition, we find that S(LDL) passes through a maximum in the ρ–T plane, similar to the maximum formed by S(G) and S(L) at the G-L critical point. However, the maximum of S(LDL) is not a critical point because the nearby thermodynamic states at the same temperature as the maximum are all unstable.

A recent work by Anisimov and co-workers also studies the phase behavior arising from an analytic equation of state that describes both a gas-liquid and a liquid-liquid phase transition, although their formulation is different from the EVWD model and is based on a free energy of mixing of two interconvertible species. Like the EVWD model, the model in Ref. 23 is able to generate both the LLCP and CPF scenarios. However, the detailed topology of the binodals and spinodals in the CPF case is qualitatively different from that found here. In Ref. 23, S(L) is always monotonic in the T–P plane (i.e., it is never a re-entrant) and so the implications and viability of the SLC are not tested by their model. Also, we find that the TMD line is observed at P ≥ 0 in both the LLCP and CPF scenarios, while in Ref. 23, the TMD retreats to negative P and disappears completely when approaching the CPF scenario. Reference 23 does not present the behavior of all of the spinodals in their model or analyze the intersection of a binodal and a spinodal, and so it would be useful to clarify the differences in phase behavior between the EVWD model and that of Ref. 23. Nonetheless, comparison of Ref. 23 with our results demonstrates that there are distinct ways to realize the CPF scenario.

Reference 23 also finds a "bird’s beak" singularity in the shape of C(LDL-HDL) when it touches S(L) and speculates that this behavior is a thermodynamic requirement (see Fig. 5 of Ref. 23). We find a different behavior. As shown in Figs. 5 and 6 and highlighted in Fig. 9, we find that when εHB is between 17.42 kJ/mol and εHB, the close approach of C(LDL-HDL) to S(L) generates a second
also clarify the thermodynamic behavior associated with the CPF scenario, which may be relevant for systems such as liquid Si.

Our results also show that Speedy points arise naturally when an equation of state possesses more than two distinct density ranges in which the system is thermodynamically stable. We illustrate this point in Fig. 10, which shows a number of isotherms of $P(v)$ obtained from the EVDW model for $\epsilon_{12} = -14$ kJ/mol. Figure 11 shows the corresponding schematic $P(v)$ isotherms, in order to better describe the qualitative shape of the equation of state over the full range of $v$. Phase separation requires that $P(v)$ develops a loop, historically called a “van der Waals loop.” Below the G-L critical temperature, this loop gives rise to a range of $v$ in which the system is thermodynamically unstable [i.e., where $(\partial P/\partial v)_T > 0$], bounded by spinodal points [where $(\partial P/\partial v)_T = 0$], as shown in Fig. 11(a).

The equal-area construction (equivalent to imposing equal $T$, $P$, and chemical potential for coexisting phases) is then used to determine the properties of the coexisting phases, one on each of the stable branches of $P(v)$ that bracket the unstable region (see the shaded regions in Fig. 11).

VI. DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that the predictions of the SLC can be realized as part of a broader pattern of behavior occurring in a system with both a gas-liquid and a liquid-liquid phase transition. While Debenedetti’s critique of the SLC is correct for a system having only a gas-liquid phase transition, we find that the EVDW model provides examples of spinodal-binodal intersections that are not critical points, which we have termed Speedy points. Our results also clarify the thermodynamic behavior associated with the CPF scenario, which may be relevant for systems such as liquid Si.
As $T$ decreases in the EVDW model, an isolated stable interval appears in $P(v)$ inside the unstable region spanned by the L and G phases [see Figs. 10(g) and 11(b)]. This stable interval corresponds to LDL and is bounded by two spinodal points. However, when it first appears as $T$ decreases, the stable LDL interval of $P(v)$ is not able to form an equal-area construction with either of the other two stable branches of $P(v)$. Only when $T$ decreases further does it become possible for LDL to form a coexistence with the liquid phase, now termed HDL to distinguish it from LDL. As shown in Figs. 10(f) and 11(c), the first opportunity for the LDL-HDL coexistence becomes well separated, as shown in Figs. 10(a) and 11(d).

Although a Speedy point is not a conventional critical point, it retains some critical-like characteristics. As noted above, one of the two phases associated with the coexistence will experience diverging fluctuations on approach to the Speedy point, similar to those at a critical point. However, the other phase remains stable at the Speedy point, with well-defined nondivergent properties.

Finally, it is important to note that a spinodal is only a well-defined concept in the limit of a mean-field system, which is implicit in our analysis because we study an analytic model equation of state. In a molecular system (real or simulated), a spinodal limit cannot be approached arbitrarily closely because nucleation processes will transform the metastable phase to a more stable phase before the spinodal is reached. Defined as the intersection of a spinodal and binodal, a Speedy point is also necessarily a mean-field concept and will not be directly accessible in a realistic system. However, previous works have demonstrated the value of studying spinodal phenomena in order to place constraints on the range of phase behavior that may be possible in a real system. This work highlights the importance of considering the thermodynamic phenomena that arise along the metastable extension of a binodal, which are common in any multiphase system, especially near a triple point. Speedy points, considered as idealized limits for metastable binodals, may therefore be relevant in a wide range of metastable behavior.
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