INTRODUCTION TO THE ANTHROPOLOGY OF DEVELOPMENT

Additional reading:
How does anthropology fit in to development?

Additional reading: just about any anthropological critique of development. Also:


INSTITUTIONS OF DEVELOPMENT
Does it matter who does development? NGOs, volunteers, bilateral and multilateral organizations
Additional reading:


STRATEGIES OF DEVELOPMENT
Understanding political positioning: Actor-oriented strategies.
Additional reading:
Hilhorst, Dorothea (1997) “Issues and dilemmas in building development efforts on local organizations” Community Development Journal. 32: 17 - 29. Oxford journals online

Is investment in industrialization and incorporation into the capitalist economy the answer?

Additional reading:


Edwards, Michael (2009) “Oil and water or the perfect margarita?” where is the “social” in “the social economy?” *The Philanthropist* 22(2): 75-81.


Government of Canada. (n.d.) “Canadian influenced mining: Turning profits into promise – mining company raises the bar on corporate social responsibility in Peru.”


Tejeda, A. (2001) “Strategic training for continued improvement” (pp. 14-15); Griffis de Zúñiga, E. “Beyond mining: Antamina meets the challenges for sustainable development” (pp. 16-19);
and La Rue, A. “Making the difference” (pp. 20-24) in Dialogue: Canada-Peru Chamber of Commerce. November 2001. (see me)


Participatory strategies and Community development

Additional reading:
ABI/Inform


What are the resources of development subjects? Social capital.

Additional reading:


Focussing on the individual characteristics: Gender and Development

Additional reading:
Microenterprise/microcredit.

Additional reading:

Indigenous knowledge.

Additional reading:

Indigenous rights
Additional reading:

Green/sustainable development:
Additional reading:

Politics and development/governance
Additional reading:
Special issue (2002) of Anthropologica 44(2) on Grass-Roots Participation and Bureaucratic
Interfaces: The Case of Mexico.
Special issue (2005) of Anthropologica 47(2) on Co-management and Indigenous Communities: Barriers and Bridges to Decentralized Resource Management.

Green development:

“Emerging Donors”:
Also stuff by Giles Mohan;
Nogueira de Morais, Isabela and Pekka Virtanen (online) “Emerging and traditional donors in the ‘post-Busan’ context: Assessing Brazil’s and Finland’s cooperation practices in Mozambique.” Forum for Development Studies. DOI: 10.1080/08039410.2015.1041549 (interviews with development workers)


Digital Divide

Alzouma, G
2005 Myths of digital technology in Africa: Leapfrogging development? Global Media and Communication 1(3): 339-356. (Not ethnographic, but a good critical review of potential problems – may have ideas for sources about projects or useful for a set of analytical criteria)

Archambault, J.S.
2011 Breaking up because of the phone and the transformative potential of information in Southern Mozambique. New Media and Society 13(3): 444-456. (Ethnographic)

Bidwell, NJ
2010 Ubuntu in the Network: Humanness in Social Capital in Rural South Africa. Interactions, 17(2): 68-71 (too short to be a complete article for essay purposes; ethnographic)

Brand, P and A Schwittay
2006 The missing piece: Human-driven design and research in ICT and Development. ICTD conference, 25-26 May 2-10

Coleman, E. Gabriella
2010 Ethnographic approaches to digital media. Annual Review of Anthropology 39:487-505. (Note this is not an ethnographic case, but a review of literature, so a good place to look for relevant sources; very little material reviewed addresses the digital divide).

Hahn, H and Kibora, L

Ilahiane, H. and J. Sherry
2009 Economic and social effects of mobile phone use in Morocco. Ethnology 48(2): 85-98. (Ethnographic [although looks like mostly interviews]; Morocco)

Kapuire, GK, NJ Bidwell and E Blake
2010 A revolution in ICT, the last hope for African Rural communities’ technology appropriation.
http://researchspace.csir.co.za/dspace/bitstream/10204/4587/1/Bidwell_2010.pdf (a critical reflection on practice, informed by ethnographic methods. I.e. minimally ethnographic and academic; Namibia)

Kuriyan, Renee and Kathi R. Kitner
2007 Constructing class boundaries: Gender and shared computing. Proceedings of the 2nd IEE/ACM International Conference ICTD 2007. (Google to locate the pdf) (India and Chile, comparative; ethnographic and interviews)
Light, Ann, Ilda Ladeira, Jahmeilah Roberson, Nicola J Bidwell, Nimmi Rangaswamy, Nithya Sambasivan, and Shikoh Gitau
2010 Gender Matters: Female perspectives in ICT4D Research.
http://researchspace.csir.co.za/dspace/bitstream/10204/5469/1/Bidwell4_2010.pdf (not refereed; based on participants’ personal experiences)

Mazzarella, W.

Mercer, Claire
2004 Engineering Civil society: ICT in Tanzania. Review of African Political Economy 31(99): 49-64. (Based on interviews, so not ethnographic; Looks at NGOs’ use of ICTs)

Miller, Daniel, Andrew Skuse, Don Slater, Jo Tacchi, Tripta Chandola, Thomas Cousins, Heather Horst, Janet Kwami
http://r4d.dfid.gov.uk/PDF/Outputs/Mis_SPC/R8232FTR.pdf (Not refereed)

Molony, T. S. J.
2006 “I don't trust the phone; it always lies”: Trust and information and communication technologies in Tanzanian micro- and small enterprises. Information Technologies and International Development, 3(4): 67-83. (Ethnographic, Tanzania)

Radhakrishnan, S.

Rangaswamy, Nimmi and Edward Cutrell
2013 Anthropology, Development, and ICTs: Slums, Youth, and the Mobile Internet in Urban India. Information Technologies and International Development 9(2): 51-63. (Ethnographic, India; check other works by Rangaswamy in this journal)

Rashid, Ahmed and Laurent Elder

Rye, Ståle Angen.

Salvador, Tony, John Sherry and Alvaro Urrutia
2005 Less Cyber, more café: Enhancing existing small business across the digital divide with ICTs. Information Technology for Development, 11 (1): 77–95 (2005) (on StFX library’s Business Source Complete database; note research is sponsored by Intel Corporation, where the authors work; based on ethnographic work in six (!) countries – Brazil, Ecuador, South Korea, Peru, Spain, US – therefore not very detailed)

Sreekumar, T.T.
2010 Mobile phones and the cultural ecology of fishing in Kerala, India. The Information Society: An International Journal 27(3): 172-180. (based on interviews, so not really ethnographic, but some qualitative information from quotations)

Srinivasan, R.
2012  Rethinking Digital Cultures and Divides: the Case for Reflective Media. The Information
Society 28: 24-36.
rinivasan%20rethinking%20digital%20cultures.pdf (Andra Pradesh, India; ethnographic)
Subramony, DP
2007  Understanding the complex dimensions of the digital divide: Lessons learned in the
Arctic. The Journal of Negro Education 76(1): 57-67. (Ethnographic, Arctic - Alaska)
Svensson, Jakob and Gudrun Wicander, eds.
2010  Proceedings of The 2nd International Conference on M4D Mobile Communication
http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:357565/FULLTEXT01.pdf.  Lots of
practitioner material – little is academic. Chapters deal with many countries and cases
and are worth browsing for relevant material.
Tehunen, S
2008  Mobile technology in the village: ICTs, culture, and social logistics in India. Journal of
the Royal Anthropological Institute. 14(3): 515-534. (ethnographic, India)

Inequality, agrarian crisis in India
Jakimow, Tanya (2014) “‘Breaking the backbone of farmers’: Contestations in a rural
Kasi, Eswarappa (2011) “Poverty and development in a marginal community: Case study of a
46(1): 5-18.
Munster, Daniel (2012) “Farmers’ suicides and the state in India: Conceptual and ethnographic
notes from Wayanad,Kerala.” Contributions to Indian Sociology. 46(1&2): 181-208.
Shah, Esha (2012) “‘A life wasted making dust’: Affective histories of dearth, death, debt and

Bottom of the pyramid
Cross, Jamie and Alice Street (2009) Anthropology at the bottom of the pyramid. Anthropology
Dolan, Catherine, Mary Johnstone-Louis & Linda Scott (2012) “Shampoo, saris and SIM cards:
seeking entrepreneurial futures at the bottom of the pyramid.” Gender & Development 20(1):
33-47.

Bottom of the Pyramid.” Public Culture 24(1):109-129. (Critical discussion, not ethnographic)